```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
                                         Docket No. 04-276E
HENRY M. HEARNE,
                                         (Judge Maurice B. Cohill, Jr.)
            Plaintiff
                                         ELECTRONICALLY FILED PLEADING
      VS.
                                         JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES,
DIVISION OF CARLISLE
                                         ORDER
CORPORATION, RETIREMENT PLAN
FOR BARGAINING UNIT EMPLOYEES
                                         Counsel of record for these parties:
                                         Richard A. Lanzillo, Esq.
OF MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES,
                                         Knox McLaughlin Gornall
DIVISION OF CARLISLE
CORPORATION,
                                         & Sennett, P.C.
                                         120 West 10<sup>th</sup> Street
                                         Erie, PA 16501
            Defendants
                                         Telephone (814) 459-2800
                                         Facsimile (814) 453-4530
                                         Email rlanzillo@kmgslaw.com
                                         PA53811
                                         Robert A. Eberle, Esq.
                                         PA Id. No. 47359
                                         Jason Mettley, Esq.
                                         PA Id. No. 81966
                                         219 Fort Pitt Boulevard
                                         Pittsburgh, PA 15222
                                         Telephone (412) 281-3850
```

AND NOW, this **30** day of **becember**, 2005, upon consideration of the parties'

Joint Motion to Modify Case Management Order, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is

GRANTED. The modified pretrial schedule and deadlines listed below shall apply to this case.

Except as modified herein, the Court's original Case Management Order shall remain in effect.

Document 9-2

Filed 11/29/2005

Page 2 of 2

 All discovery in Phase I shall be commenced in time to be completed by February 24, 2006.

- b. The parties shall file motions for summary judgment on or before

 March 10, 2005. Response to such motions shall be accompanied by

 briefs and filed on or before March 30, 2006. Any reply briefs shall be

 due five (5) days after the receipt of the Response and shall not exceed

 three (3) pages in length.
- c. Phase II of the proposed Case Management Order shall only be effective at the conclusion of Phase I and only if the plaintiffs prevail on the threshold legal issues identified in paragraph 6(d) of their Rule 26(f) Report. The Court shall schedule a status conference at the convenience of the Court and the parties.

Maurice B. Cohill, Jr.
United States District Judge

76PH11285 # 645401